

Caseloads of the Courts of Washington

Court Activity, All Divisions, Notices of Discretionary Review - 2010 to 2014

Page 1 of 2

	2010	2011	% Chg (9)	2012	% Chg (9)	2013	% Chg (9)	2014	% Chg (9)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	404	366	-9.4 %	434	18.6 %	396	-8.8 %	450	13.6 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (10)									
Opinions Published	23	31	. %	20	. %	16	. %	21	. %
Opinions Unpublished	14	13	. %	24	. %	25	. %	15	. %
Opinions	37	44	18.9 %	44	0 %	41	-6.8 %	36	-12.2 %
Dismissed	0	0	. %	5	. %	3	. %	5	. %
Transferred/Certified (2)	3	5	. %	5	. %	2	. %	3	. %
Review Not Accepted	6	25	. %	25	. %	29	. %	30	. %
Other Terminations	5	3	. %	4	. %	1	. %	2	. %
Orders	14	33	. %	39	18.2 %	35	-10.3 %	40	14.3 %
Decided on Merits	6	4	. %	4	. %	7	. %	4	. %
Dismissed	104	97	-6.7 %	100	3.1 %	102	2 %	135	32.4 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	0	0	. %	1	. %	0	. %	1	. %
Review Not Accepted	191	163	-14.7 %	153	-6.1 %	171	11.8 %	196	14.6 %
Other Terminations	5	6	. %	3	. %	8	. %	3	. %
Rulings	306	270	-11.8 %	261	-3.3 %	288	10.3 %	339	17.7 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	320	303	-5.3 %	300	-1 %	323	7.7 %	379	17.3 %
Total Resolved	357	347	-2.8 %	344	-0.9 %	364	5.8 %	415	14 %

1 As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

2 Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

3 Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

4 For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

5 As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

6 Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

7 Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

8 Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

9 Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

10 As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

Caseloads of the Courts of Washington

Court Activity, All Divisions, Notices of Discretionary Review - 2010 to 2014

Page 2 of 2

	2010	2011	% Chg (9)	2012	% Chg (9)	2013	% Chg (9)	2014	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									
Cases Stayed	15	18	. %	17	. %	8	. %	23	. %
Brief Not Filed	82	88	7.3 %	119	35.2 %	102	-14.3 %	123	20.6 %
Appellant Brief Filed	11	3	. %	4	. %	9	. %	0	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	22	25	. %	32	. %	23	. %	19	. %
Remanded to Trial Court	0	0	. %	1	. %	5	. %	0	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	22	19	. %	23	. %	30	. %	36	. %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	0	3	. %	8	. %	8	. %	0	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	6	4	. %	5	. %	8	. %	9	. %
Total Awaiting Hearing	158	160	1.3 %	209	30.6 %	193	-7.7 %	210	8.8 %
Opinion/Order in Process	15	12	. %	6	. %	7	. %	6	. %
Total Pending Decision	173	172	-0.6 %	215	25 %	200	-7 %	216	8 %
MANDATED (1) (8)									
Opinion Terminating Review	45	53	17.8 %	45	-15.1 %	48	6.7 %	42	-12.5 %
Order Terminating Review	20	30	. %	35	. %	42	20 %	38	-9.5 %
Ruling Terminating Review	335	285	-14.9 %	278	-2.5 %	322	15.8 %	325	0.9 %
Other Decision Terminating Review	8	5	. %	7	. %	11	. %	7	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	363	320	-11.8 %	320	0 %	375	17.2 %	370	-1.3 %
Total Mandated	408	373	-8.6 %	365	-2.1 %	423	15.9 %	412	-2.6 %

1 As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

2 Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

3 Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

4 For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

5 As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

6 Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

7 Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

8 Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

9 Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

10 As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.